

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

Jose L. Contreras,

Plaintiff,

v.

Planet Home Lending, LLC,

Defendant.

---

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Civil Action File No.:

**COMPLAINT  
WITH JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. The United States Congress has found the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system. Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S. Code § 1681, *et seq.* (the “FCRA”) to ensure fair and accurate reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and protect consumer privacy.

2. Under the FCRA, consumer reporting agencies are charged with two primary duties: the duty to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum

possible accuracy of information when preparing consumer reports; and the duty to reasonably reinvestigate consumers' disputes of inaccurate information, and then appropriately correct or modify the disputed information. A consumer reporting agency's duty to reasonably reinvestigate consumers' disputes of inaccurate information explicitly includes the duty to notify the furnisher of the disputed information. This is because the furnisher of the disputed information stands in a better position to make a thorough investigation of the disputed information than the credit reporting agency.

3. Under the FCRA, furnishers of information have two similar primary duties: to report complete and accurate information regarding the consumers about whom the furnishers report; and, upon receiving notice of a consumer's dispute from a consumer reporting agency, to conduct an investigation of the disputed information, and then modify, delete, or permanently block the reporting of that information as appropriate.

4. Defendant compiles, maintains, and reports information concerning Plaintiff's credit-worthiness, credit-standing, credit capacity, character, and general reputation. That information is then made available for use by third-parties in credit transactions involving Plaintiff, for employment purposes, the underwriting of

insurance for Plaintiff, and even in connection with a determination of Plaintiff's eligibility for a license or other governmental benefit. Accordingly, and pursuant to various provisions of the FCRA, Plaintiff has a legally protected interest in Defendant fulfilling its duties under the FCRA, so that the information reported and maintained by Defendant is done so in a manner which is fair and equitable to Plaintiff, with regards to the confidentiality, accuracy, and relevancy of that information.

5. This action for damages is based on Defendant's false reporting on Plaintiff's credit files and/or consumer reports, failures to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning Plaintiff, and failures to conduct reasonable investigations and reinvestigations with respect to disputes of such information.

### **PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff, Jose L. Contreras, is a natural person who resides in Hall County, Georgia.

7. Plaintiff is an individual and is, therefore, a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).

8. Defendant, Planet Home Lending, LLC (hereinafter “Planet Home”) is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Connecticut and registered to do business in the State of Georgia. Planet Home may be served with process via its registered agent, Corporation Service Company at 2 Sun Court, Suite 400, Peachtree Corners, Ga, 30092.

9. Planet Home regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies about consumer transactions, such as Plaintiff’s transactions at issue in this lawsuit and described herein, and is, therefore, a “furnisher” as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1681, *et seq.*, claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681p and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-10-91(1) because, *inter alia*, Defendant frequently and routinely conducts business in the State of Georgia, including the conduct complained of herein.

12. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, venue is proper in the Northern District of Georgia because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

13. Pursuant to LR 3.1B(3), venue is proper in the Atlanta Division because Defendant maintains an agent for service of process within the Atlanta Division.

### **ALLEGATIONS OF FACT**

#### **Plaintiff's Mortgage**

14. On or about November 10, 2020, Plaintiff obtained a residential home loan from Homestar Financial Corp. for the original principal amount of \$220,288 (the "Mortgage").

15. The Mortgage is collateralized by residential real property located at 4120 Pearhaven Lane, Gainesville, Georgia 30504, as evidenced by the Security Deed recorded at Deed Book 8661, Page 659, in the Superior Court of Hall County.

16. On or about December 6, 2022, the Mortgage was transferred from Homestar Financial Corp. to Planet Home, as evidenced by the Assignment recorded at Deed Book 8661, Page 659, in the Superior Court of Hall County.

17. On August 24, 2021, Plaintiff filed a Chapter 13 Voluntary Bankruptcy Petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Gainesville Division, Case Number 21-20913 (the “Bankruptcy Case”).

18. On December 17, 2022, Planet Home filed a Proof of Claim in Plaintiff’s Bankruptcy Case, claim number 6, representing it was owed \$217,857.

19. As recently as March 6, 2023, Planet Home advised the Bankruptcy Court and Plaintiff that Plaintiff’s Mortgage was being serviced by Planet Home and that Plaintiff’s obligation is ongoing.

20. Accordingly, Plaintiff has continued to make his post-filing Mortgage payments to Planet Home, and Planet Home has continued to service Plaintiff’s Mortgage and accept his post-filing Mortgage payments.

**Effect of Consumer Reports Which Contain  
Inaccurate or Misleading Information**

21. Under the FCRA, the term “consumer report” generally refers to:

any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer’s credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer’s eligibility for:

- i. credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes;
- ii. employment purposes; or
- iii. any other purpose authorized under section 1681b of this title.

15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1).

22. The information contained in a consumer report bears on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, and personal characteristics.

23. The information contained in a consumer report can have a tremendous effect on the consumer; to name only a few, the report can impact the consumer's:

- a. Eligibility for and terms for credit;
- b. Potential for refinancing of existing credit;
- c. Eligibility for leasing prospects;
- d. Eligibility for utility services;
- e. Eligibility for and the terms of insurance;
- f. Employment or potential employment;
- g. Accounts which are under collection or review;
- h. Eligibility for a license or other benefit granted by a governmental instrumentality, particularly where the

instrumentality is required by law to consider an applicant's financial responsibility or status;

- i. Standing with potential investors or servicers; and
- j. Eligibility for individually-billed travel charge cards used by executive departments and agencies.

24. The terms “consumer report”, “credit report”, and “consumer credit report” are used synonymously herein.

25. Approximately two million consumer reports are issued by credit bureaus each day. See, Robert B. Avery, Paul S. Calem, and Glenn B. Canner, Federal Reserve Board, Division of Research and Statistics, and Raphael W. Bostic, University of Southern California, *An Overview of Consumer Data and Credit Reporting* (February 2003), p. 48-49, available at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/pubs/bulletin/2003/0203lead.pdf>, archived at <https://perma.cc/DCY4-ZS6C> (last accessed on December 12, 2022).

26. In 2012, the Federal Trade Commission conducted a study regarding consumer credit reporting errors and determined that anywhere from 10 to 21 percent of consumers have confirmed errors on their consumer reports. Federal Trade Commission, *Report to Congress under Section 319 of the Fair and Accurate Credit*



*Transactions Act of 2003* (December 2012), p. iv of Executive Summary, available at <https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/reports/section-319-fair-and-accurate-credit-transactions-act-2003-fifth-interim-federal-trade-commission/130211factareport.pdf>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/R3P4-FGV9> (last accessed on December 12, 2022).

27. The FTC study found that not only do these errors adversely affect consumers' credit scores, but the estimated proportion of reports and consumers who experience a positive credit score change resulting from the *correction* of these errors is higher than previous estimates from the credit reporting industry. *Id.*

#### *Credit Scoring*

28. The Fair Isaac Corporation credit risk scoring system, commonly referred to as "FICO", is the leading credit scoring system and utilizes data reported by credit reporting agencies. See, <https://www.myfico.com/credit-education/credit-scores/> (last accessed on December 12, 2022).

29. The Fair Isaac Corporation uses the data in consumer reports to calculate consumers' credit scores (also known as credit risk scores). *Id.*

30. The term "credit score" is a numerical value or a categorization derived from a statistical tool or modeling system used by a person who makes or arranges

a loan to predict the likelihood of certain credit behaviors, including default. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *Supervision and Examination Manual, Version 2* (October 2012), p. 53, available at [http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201210\\_cfpb\\_supervision-and-examination-manual-v2.pdf](http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201210_cfpb_supervision-and-examination-manual-v2.pdf), *archived at* <http://perma.cc/JF32-RFAA>, (last accessed on December 12, 2022).

31. FICO scores are calculated from five main categories of credit data in a consumer's credit report. Those categories, and their weighted values, are as follows: payment history accounts for 35% of a consumer's FICO score; debt/amounts owed accounts for 30% of a consumer's FICO score; age/length of credit history accounts for 15% of a consumer's FICO score; new credit/recent inquiries accounts for 10% of a consumer's FICO score; and mix of accounts/types of credit accounts for 10% of a consumer's FICO score. See, <https://www.myfico.com/credit-education/whats-in-your-credit-score/>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/E8Y3-F4AA> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

32. Payment history is the most important aspect of a consumer's credit score because it shows how the consumer has managed his finances, including any late payments. Credit history is also very important, as it demonstrates how long the

consumer has been managing his accounts, when his last payments were made, and any recent charges. See, <https://www.transunion.com/credit-score>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/NRZ4-W83U> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

33. The cost of credit (e.g., interest rates, fees, etc.), the availability of credit, ratings for insurance products, and even unsolicited credit offers, such as the opportunity to refinance a mortgage at a lower interest rate, extended financing periods and lower rate auto loans, and even zero-percent financing credit offers for in-store credit lines, are all, by and large, driven by a consumer's credit score.

34. Inaccurate or incorrect credit reporting very often results in a lower FICO and other credit scoring model scores, and thus higher costs of credit, diminished opportunity, and less purchasing power for consumers.

35. Incorrectly reporting the tradeline of Plaintiff's Mortgage—which is open, active, and has a balance that Plaintiff is making payments on—with incorrect, outdated payment information and as having a \$0 balance, adversely affects Plaintiff's FICO score, as it excludes any recent positive payment history associated with the Mortgage, it alters the age/length of credit history, and it alters the mix of accounts/types.

36. There is no established rule or threshold for classifying the significance of a credit score change as minor or major because the impact of a change in score is dependent on the current score. That is, a twenty-five-point change in a credit score that keeps the consumer in a particular credit risk category may not have a large impact on the person's likelihood of receiving credit. However, a one-point change in credit score that moves the consumer from one risk tier to the next may have a large impact on the consumer's access to credit or the products and rates the consumer is able to secure.

37. Consistent with FTC study, the Fair Isaac Corporation states that inaccurate or incorrect information on a consumer's credit report can hurt their score. See, <https://www.myfico.com/credit-education/questions/fix-errors-on-credit-report/>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/9TQN-S5WP> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

#### *Credit-Based Insurance Scoring*

38. Other entities that regularly review consumer reports, and use the data contained therein, are insurance companies.

39. Insurance companies use a scoring mechanism which is similar to, but distinct from, the "credit score" used by creditors.

40. Credit-based insurance scores, like credit scores themselves, are numerical summaries of consumers' credit histories; credit-based insurance scores are typically calculated using a multitude of information, including, but not limited to, the length and age of credit history and the use of certain types of credit. Federal Trade Commission, *Credit-Based Insurance Scores: Impacts on Consumers of Automobile Insurance* (July 2007), p. 11, available at [https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/reports/credit-based-insurance-scores-impacts-consumers-automobile-insurance-report-congress-federal-trade/p044804facta\\_report\\_credit-based\\_insurance\\_scores.pdf](https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/reports/credit-based-insurance-scores-impacts-consumers-automobile-insurance-report-congress-federal-trade/p044804facta_report_credit-based_insurance_scores.pdf), *archived at* <https://perma.cc/B2VQ-452N> (last accessed December 12, 2022). As cited in *Ins. Inst. V. Commissioner*, 486 Mich. 370, 785 N.W.2d 67 (2010).

41. Credit-based insurance scores evolved from traditional credit scores, and all major automobile insurance companies use credit-based insurance scores in some capacity; insurers use these scores to assign consumers to risk pools and to determine the premiums that they pay. *Id.* at 22.

42. A Wallethub study determined that a change in credit scores caused a consumer's automobile insurance rates to rise by an average of 67% nationwide, and an average of 84% in Georgia. *2018's States Where Credit Scores Affect Car*

*Insurance the Most – Credit Score & Car Insurance Report*, available at <https://wallethub.com/edu/car-insurance-by-credit-score-report/4343/>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/CSL8-D47Y> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

43. Homeowner’s insurance companies also use credit scores to decide whether to issue policies, and on what terms. A higher credit score is taken to mean that a consumer is less of a risk, which, in turn, means the consumer is more likely to be able to obtain insurance, and pay less for it.

See <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0152-credit-scores>, *archived at* <https://perma.cc/EB3D-54UP> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

44. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”) is the U.S. standard-setting and regulatory support organization created and governed by the chief insurance regulators from the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and five U.S. territories. See, [http://www.naic.org/index\\_about.htm](http://www.naic.org/index_about.htm) (last accessed December 12, 2022).

45. The NAIC advises consumers who find errors on their credit reports to contact the credit reporting company to have the errors corrected, as the errors can affect the consumer’s credit-based insurance score. National Association of

Insurance Commissioners, *Credit-Based Insurance Scores: How an Insurance Company Can Use Your Credit to Determine Your Premium*, available at [http://www.naic.org/documents/consumer\\_alert\\_credit\\_based\\_insurance\\_scores.htm](http://www.naic.org/documents/consumer_alert_credit_based_insurance_scores.htm), archived at <https://perma.cc/S4F2-9VTL> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

46. There are several different companies that create credit-based insurance score reports for insurers to use, including the Fair Isaac Corporation. In calculating credit-based insurance scores, FICO looks at five general areas it believes will best determine how an individual manage risks. *Id.*

47. The following is a breakdown of what FICO considers in calculating credit-based insurance scores, and how much the information generally weighs in that calculation: payment history accounts for 40% of a consumer's of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score; debt/amounts owed accounts for 30% of a consumer's of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score; age/length of credit history accounts for 15% of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score; new credit/recent inquiries accounts for 10% of a consumer's of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score; and, mix of accounts/types of credit accounts for 5% of a consumer's of a consumer's FICO credit-based insurance score. *Id.*

48. Incorrectly reporting the tradeline of Plaintiff's Mortgage—which is open, active, and has a balance that Plaintiff is making payments on—with incorrect, outdated payment information and as having a \$0 balance, adversely affects Plaintiff's FICO credit-based insurance score, as it excludes any recent positive payment history associated with the Mortgage, it alters the age/length of credit history, and it alters the mix of accounts/types.

49. 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(2) requires furnishers of information to regularly correct and update the information they previously provided to consumer reporting agencies, to make sure the information is complete and accurate. Similarly, upon receiving notice from a consumer reporting agency of a consumer's dispute, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1) requires furnishers of information to conduct reasonable investigations of a consumer's dispute of the completeness or accuracy of any information provided by the furnisher of information to a consumer reporting agency.

50. As an integral aspect of its duties under the FCRA, Planet Home is required to have in place adequate and reasonable policies and procedures for handling and investigation of disputed information.



51. At all times relevant hereto, Planet Home adopted and implemented the Metro 2 format as a means of fulfilling its aforementioned duties under the FCRA.

52. Furthermore, at all times relevant hereto, Planet Home incorporated, warranted, and or represented to all CRAs to which it reported that it had adopted and implemented the Metro 2 format for its reporting of consumer data, and would otherwise comply with Metro 2 and CDIA guidelines in its reporting of consumer information.

53. The FCRA requires CRAs to implement an automated reinvestigation system through which furnishers of information to the CRA may report the results of a reinvestigation that finds incomplete or inaccurate information in a consumer's file. 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(D).

54. To comply with the automated dispute reinvestigation requirements of the FCRA, Trans Union, Equifax, and Experian (the three major "National CRAs"), along with Innovis Data Solutions, Inc., developed and implemented a browser-based software system that allows the CRAs to electronically notify furnishers quickly and easily of disputed credit reporting information, and for furnishers to quickly and easily respond to such disputes following the furnisher's investigation of the disputed information.

55. The system is commonly referred to as e-OSCAR (Online Solution for Complete and Accurate Reporting) and was designed to be Metro 2 compliant. See <http://www.e-oscar.org/> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

56. The e-OSCAR system primarily supports Automated Credit Dispute Verification (“ACDV”) and Automated Universal Data Form (“AUD”) processing, as well as other consumer-dispute-related processes. *Id.*

57. The National CRAs, provide notice of a consumer’s dispute to data furnishers in the ACDV format, and forward the ACDV to the furnisher through e-OSCAR.

58. If a furnisher’s investigation of a consumer’s dispute determines that the information in dispute is incomplete or inaccurate, the FCRA requires the furnisher to correct the information not only with the CRA that sent the ACDV, but with all other CRAs to whom the furnisher reported that information. 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(D).

59. The e-OSCAR system facilitates the furnisher’s compliance with 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(D) by sending a “Carbon Copy” of an ACDV response “to each CRA with whom the [furnisher] has a reporting relationship” in addition to the

response to the initiating CRA. See <https://www.e-oscar.org/implementation/about-us> (last accessed December 12, 2022).

60. Additionally, a furnisher can manually correct a tradeline with a CRA other than the one that initiated a dispute by sending an AUD within e-OSCAR.

61. Because consumer credit information changes monthly, failure to update that information on a monthly basis, yet still publishing reports containing the previously reported information without updates, means that the information being reported is almost certainly incomplete, inaccurate, and misleading.

### **Plaintiff's Equifax Consumer Report**

62. On or about June 14, 2022, Plaintiff obtained a copy of his consumer report as published by Equifax.

63. That report contained erroneous information as provided by Planet Home, and as published and reported by Equifax.

64. The relevant portion of the Planet Home tradeline appeared in the Equifax report as having a \$0 balance and a \$0 monthly payment.

65. In a letter dated January of 2023, Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate and misleading information directly to Equifax and advised Equifax that his balance was not \$0 and his monthly payment was not \$0.

66. The dispute letter provided Defendant with sufficient information to identify and correct the inaccurate reporting.

67. In support of Plaintiff's dispute, and to assist Defendant's investigation, Plaintiff included with his dispute the following documents: a recent payoff statement showing the continuation of Plaintiff's obligation and a copy of the Proof of Claim filed by Planet Home.

68. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i, Equifax had a duty to notify Planet Home of Plaintiff's dispute within five business days of receiving the dispute, to forward the supporting documents submitted with Plaintiff's dispute for Planet Home's review, to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of the disputed information, and to correct the tradeline or delete it from Plaintiff's consumer file.

69. Plaintiff did not receive a response to his dispute, so he resubmitted his dispute in February of 2023.

70. Plaintiff did not receive a response to his second dispute so Plaintiff resubmitted his dispute again in April of 2023.

71. Plaintiff did not receive a response to his third dispute.

72. Plaintiff incurred postage cost each time he submitted a dispute.

73. Upon information and belief, Equifax timely notified Planet Home of Plaintiff's dispute, via e-OSCAR or otherwise, and provided the supporting documents submitted with Plaintiff's dispute.

74. Upon information and belief, Planet Home received timely notice of Plaintiff's dispute from Equifax.

75. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Planet Home had a duty to conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed information, and to modify or delete that information appropriately.

76. Plaintiff access his Equifax credit report again in May of 2023 and the Defendant's tradeline contained the same errors which Plaintiff had disputed.

77. Defendant's post-investigation reporting is false and misleading.

78. Defendant's post-investigation reporting is in derogation of the Metro 2 reporting standards, and that departure and failure to adhere to the adopted guidelines renders the reporting both false and materially misleading, as users of consumer reports assume Defendant's compliance with Metro 2 standards in reporting consumer information.

79. There is no indication in the tradeline that Plaintiff has disputed the information reported and published by Defendant, and the failure to note Plaintiff's

legitimate dispute of the Planet Home tradeline renders the reporting materially misleading.

80. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the revised tradeline reflects any information provided by Planet Home to Equifax in response to Plaintiff's dispute.

**Plaintiff's Trans Union Consumer Report**

81. On or about June 14, 2022, Plaintiff obtained a copy of his consumer report as published by Equifax.

82. That report contained erroneous information as provided by Planet Home, and as published and reported by Equifax.

83. The relevant portion of the Planet Home tradeline appeared in the Equifax report as having a \$0 balance and a \$0 monthly payment.

84. In a letter dated January of 2023, Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate and misleading information directly to Equifax and advised Equifax that his balance was not \$0 and his monthly payment was not \$0.

85. The dispute letter provided Defendant with sufficient information to identify and correct the inaccurate reporting.

86. In support of Plaintiff's dispute, and to assist Defendant's investigation, Plaintiff included with his dispute the following documents: a recent payoff

statement showing the continuation of Plaintiff's obligation and a copy of the Proof of Claim filed by Planet Home.

87. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i, Equifax had a duty to notify Planet Home of Plaintiff's dispute within five business days of receiving the dispute, to forward the supporting documents submitted with Plaintiff's dispute for Planet Home's review, to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation of the disputed information, and to correct the tradeline or delete it from Plaintiff's consumer file.

88. Plaintiff did not receive a response to his dispute, so he resubmitted his dispute in February of 2023.

89. Plaintiff did not receive a response to his second dispute so Plaintiff resubmitted his dispute again in April of 2023.

90. Plaintiff did not receive a response to his third dispute.

91. Plaintiff incurred postage cost each time he submitted a dispute.

92. Upon information and belief, Trans Union timely notified Planet Home of Plaintiff's dispute, via e-OSCAR or otherwise, and provided the supporting documents submitted with Plaintiff's dispute.

93. Upon information and belief, Planet Home received timely notice of Plaintiff's dispute from Trans Union.

94. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Planet Home had a duty to conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed information, and to modify or delete that information appropriately.

95. Plaintiff access his Trans Union credit report again in May of 2023 and the Defendant's tradeline contained the same errors which Plaintiff had disputed.

96. Defendant's post-investigation reporting is false and misleading.

97. Defendant's post-investigation reporting is in derogation of the Metro 2 reporting standards, and that departure and failure to adhere to the adopted guidelines renders the reporting both false and materially misleading, as users of consumer reports assume Defendant's compliance with Metro 2 standards in reporting consumer information.

98. There is no indication in the tradeline that Plaintiff has disputed the information reported and published by Defendant, and the failure to note Plaintiff's legitimate dispute of the Planet Home tradeline renders the reporting materially misleading.

99. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the revised tradeline reflects any information provided by Planet Home to Trans Union in response to Plaintiff's dispute.



### **INJURIES-IN-FACT**

100. Defendant's actions and omissions have caused Plaintiff to lose time attempting to correct the false information on Plaintiff's consumer reports.

101. The time spent by a person attempting to correct a false credit report constitutes a concrete injury for purposes of an FCRA claim. *Pinson v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, Nat'l Ass'n*, No. 16-17107, 2019 U.S. App. LEXIS 33662, at \*5 (11th Cir. Nov. 12, 2019), citing *Pedro v. Equifax, Inc.*, 868 F.3d 1275, 1280 (11th Cir. 2017).

102. Defendant's actions and omissions have resulted in the illegitimate suppression of Plaintiff's FICO credit scores and other credit rating model scores.

103. The adverse effect on Plaintiff's credit scores places Plaintiff at the material risk of being denied credit or receiving less favorable credit terms than he otherwise would.

104. Further, the Courts have regularly held that allegations of lower credit scores, taken as true, are sufficient to allege a concrete injury-in-fact for the purposes of standing under Article III. *Pedro v. Equifax, Inc.*, 868 F.3d 1275 (11th Cir. 2017) ("[H]er credit score dropped 100 points as a result of the challenged conduct. Because Pedro alleged that she suffered an injury in fact, she has standing to pursue

her complaint.”); *Diedrich v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC*, 839 F.3d 583 (7th Cir. 2016) (standing where Plaintiffs alleged that they “have suffered damage to their credit and been forced to pay Ocwen greater payments and a higher interest rate”); *Santangelo v. Comcast Corp.*, 162 F. Supp. 3d 691 (N.D. Ill. 2016) (“a depleted credit score is sufficient to constitute an injury-in-fact for the purposes of establishing Article III standing”); *Binns v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC*, No. 14-01764, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 132743, 2015 WL 5785693, at \*9 (S.D. Ind. Sept. 30, 2015) (“injuries to plaintiffs’ credit scores and reputations were considered intangible harms”); *Rothman v. U.S. Bank Nat’l Ass’n*, No. 13-03381, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 141100, 2014 WL 4966907, at \*5 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014) (“Injury to a credit score is sufficient to constitute ‘actual damages’”); *Green v. RentGrow, Inc.*, No. 2:16cv421, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 166229 (“A decrease in credit score may still establish an injury in fact sufficient to confer standing”); *Adams v. Fifth Third Bank*, No. 3:16-CV-00218-TBR, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18932 (W.D. Ky. Feb. 9, 2017) (“Plaintiffs’ allegations of lower credit scores ... are sufficient to allege a concrete injury-in-fact for the purposes of standing under Article III.”); and, *Coulbertson v. Experian Info. Sols., Inc.*, No. 16-cv-05672-RS, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 69484 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 24, 2017) (“At a minimum, Coulbertson has alleged

a sufficient injury-in-fact through her claim that her credit score suffered as a result of the credit report she disputes”).

105. Defendant’s actions and omissions have resulted in the illegitimate suppression of Plaintiff’s credit-based insurance scores.

106. The adverse effect on Plaintiff’s credit-based insurance scores places Plaintiff at the material risk of being denied insurance or receiving less favorable insurance rates and terms than he otherwise would.

107. Defendant’s actions and omissions have caused Plaintiff’s credit reports to falsely indicate that Plaintiff’s Mortgage has a balance of \$0, suggesting that the account was discharged in Plaintiff’s Bankruptcy Case, thereby denying Plaintiff the positive effect of the reporting of their Mortgage payments over the course of the Chapter 13.

108. This false impression creates a material risk that Plaintiff would be denied credit, receive less favorable credit treatment than Plaintiff otherwise would, or receive other unfavorable treatment than Plaintiff otherwise would, from any viewer of Plaintiff’s credit report engaged in judgment-based lending.

109. Because Defendant failed to comply with their duties under the FCRA as detailed herein, Plaintiff will need to obtain and provide verification of the

Mortgage, bank statements, and/or other documents to demonstrate his payment history for the previous 12 months.

110. This requires Plaintiff to expend more time, effort, and money due to Defendant's failures to abide by its obligations under the FCRA.

### **DAMAGES**

#### **Actual Damages**

111. As a result of Defendant's actions and omissions, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages.

112. These damages include out-of-pocket expenses incurred as a result of Defendant's wrongful representations regarding the Mortgage, and Defendant's failures to abide by their obligations under the FCRA.

113. Plaintiff has suffered a decrease in Plaintiff's credit scores as a result of Defendant's wrongful representations regarding the Mortgage, and Defendant's failures to abide by their obligations under the FCRA.

114. Plaintiff has also experienced physical symptoms of aggravation, frustration, and stress due to the fact that Defendant is thwarting Plaintiff from receiving the Fresh Start guaranteed by bankruptcy process and discharge.

#### **Statutory and Punitive Damages**

115. At the time Defendant reported the information at issue in this matter, Defendant had actual notice that the information it was reporting regarding Plaintiff and the Mortgage was false, deceptive, and misleading.

116. For example, Plaintiff included corroborating documents with his dispute, which was more than sufficient to establish that the disputed information was being reported inaccurately.

117. Defendant had more than enough information to correct its false, deceptive, and misleading reporting.

118. Despite that, Defendant continued to report the false, deceptive, and misleading information regarding Plaintiff and the Mortgage.

119. Defendant failed to correct its false, deceptive, and misleading reporting, and in fact continued to report false, deceptive, and misleading information regarding Plaintiff, as described herein.

120. Accordingly, Defendant's conduct was willful.

121. As a result of Defendant's willful actions and omissions, Plaintiff is eligible to recover actual damages or statutory damages of up to \$1,000, potential punitive damages, costs of this action, and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and/or 1681o.

**CAUSE OF ACTION**

**VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT**

**15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)**

**Planet Home Lending, LLC**

122. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 122 as though fully stated herein.

123. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a), Planet Home is responsible for providing accurate information whenever it furnishes information to any consumer reporting agencies.

124. Upon information and belief, Equifax and Trans Union timely notified Planet Home of Plaintiff's disputes, and provided Planet Home with all the relevant information that Plaintiff had submitted.

125. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Planet Home had a duty to investigate Plaintiff's disputes and accurately report its findings to Equifax and Trans Union.

126. A furnisher's investigation must be a good faith effort to ascertain the truth; a reasonable investigation must answer the substance of the consumer's dispute, and may not merely be a *pro forma* record review that simply begs the question.

127. A reasonable investigation clearly requires some degree of careful inquiry, and more than just a superficial inquiry.

128. The reasonableness of an investigation under the FCRA is generally a question of fact for the jury.

129. In order to conduct a reasonable investigation, and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Planet Home was required to review and consider all relevant information submitted by Plaintiff to Equifax and Trans Union.

130. Plaintiff's disputes were clear and unambiguous as to the inaccuracies of reporting the Mortgage.

131. Planet Home breached its duties as described herein.

132. If Planet Home had conducted a reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's disputes, Planet Home would have reviewed and considered all of the information Plaintiff submitted to Equifax and Trans Union in his disputes, and would have easily detected that what was being reported regarding the Mortgage was factually incorrect, inaccurate, and misleading.

133. If Planet Home had conducted a reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's disputes, the tradeline on Plaintiff's consumer reports would have been corrected accordingly.

134. Due to Planet Home's failures to provide accurate information, and failures to conduct reasonable investigations of Plaintiff's disputes, the false and misleading information in Plaintiff's credit file and on Plaintiff's reports as described herein was not appropriately modified.

135. Planet Home had all the information necessary to correct its reporting. Despite that, Planet Home failed to suitably correct its reporting, in the face of clear evidence that it was false and misleading. That failure indicates that Planet Home's investigation procedures were not reasonable.

136. The fact that Planet Home had all the information necessary to correct its reporting, yet failed to appropriately do so, further indicates that Planet Home recklessly disregarded Plaintiff's disputes and the requirements of the FCRA, amounting to a willful violation of the statute.

137. Planet Home willfully, or in the alternative negligently, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation upon receiving notice of Plaintiff's disputes, by failing to appropriately modify the disputed information, and/or by failing to appropriately report the results of its investigation, in reckless disregard of the statutory requirements, Plaintiff's disputes.



138. As a result of Planet Home's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as stated herein. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to recover actual damages from Planet Home under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and 1681o.

139. Planet Home's actions and omissions were willful, rendering Planet Home liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages and/or statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

140. Plaintiff is entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees from Planet Home pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and 1681o.

**TRIAL BY JURY**

141. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby requests a trial by jury.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendant for:

- a) Plaintiff's actual damages;
- b) Statutory damages of \$1,000 per violation of the FCRA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;
- c) Punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;
- d) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n and/or 1681o; and

e) Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 31st day of July, 2023.

**BERRY & ASSOCIATES**

/s/ Matthew T. Berry

Matthew T. Berry

Georgia Bar No.: 055663

matt@mattberry.com

Telephone: (404) 235-3334

2751 Buford Highway, Suite 600

Atlanta, GA 30324

/s/ Chris Armor

Christopher N. Armor

Georgia Bar No. 614061

P.O. Box 509

Londonderry, Vermont 05148

Phone 651-208-6441

Fax 404-592-6102

chris.armor@armorlaw.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff*